

Current Issues and Future Directions in Marrow Transplantation*

R. Storb

A. Introduction

The use of allogeneic marrow transplantation as treatment for patients with various hematological diseases has increased in recent years [1–6]. A survey by the International Bone Marrow Transplantation Registry [7] estimated the number of transplants carried out through the year 1987 to be in the order of 20000, more than 10000 of these during the years of 1985 through 1987. Marrow transplantation has been employed in most cases ($\geq 80\%$) for therapy of malignant hematologic diseases. Roughly 10% of all transplants have been for the treatment of patients with acquired or inherited marrow dysfunction (aplastic anemia), and 5%–6% have been for treatment of congenital defects of the hematopoietic and immune systems (thalassemia major, severe combined immunodeficiency disease, and other inborn errors).

Since 1970, when marrow transplantation was restricted to patients with advanced hematologic malignancies and disease-free survival was in the order of 15%, remarkable advances have been made [8]. Recent studies in patients with acute nonlymphoblastic leukemia (ANL) in first chemotherapy-induced remission

have shown actuarial survival to be superior in patients undergoing marrow transplantation (50%) compared with those given chemotherapy (20%) with a follow-up period of up to 10 years. Patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) given grafts in second or subsequent remission have shown disease-free survival of approximately 35%, whereas patients undergoing chemotherapy all died of recurrent disease within 3½ years of the initiation of therapy. Fifty to 60% of patients with chronic myelocytic leukemia (CML) transplanted while in chronic phase have obtained disease-free survival whereas none can be cured with chemotherapy alone. In patients with aplastic anemia treated with marrow grafting, survival has improved to 60%–80% compared with 40%–50% for patients treated with immunosuppression by antithymocyte globulin and only 20% for patients who receive only supportive therapy. Marrow grafting has produced 70% disease-free survival in patients with thalassemia major [9] and approximately 50%–60% survival in patients grafted for severe combined immunodeficiency disease and other inborn errors [10].

Despite impressive improvements, major problems and complications in marrow transplantation remain [1–6, 8, 10–23]. These are listed in Table 1. In patients grafted for leukemia 17%–75% of treatment failures are attributable to relapse, whereas graft rejection has resulted in the death of 5%–12% of patients grafted for aplastic anemia. Significant acute graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) with a case fatality rate of approximately 50% is seen in 18%–45% of all patients and it is responsible for 10%–25% of

The Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and the University of Washington School of Medicine 1124 Columbia St., Seattle, WA, 98104, USA

* Supported in part by grant HL 36444 from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, and by grants CA 18029, CA 18221, CA 31787, CA 18105 and CA 15704 awarded by the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, DHHS

Table 1. Incidence of transplant-related complications and long-term survival after HLA-identical marrow grafting. (Data reviewed in [1–6, 8, 10–23])

Disease ^a	CML			ALL				ANL		AA	
	1st			1st	2nd	3rd	2nd+	1st	2nd+	1st	
Disease phase ^b	CP	AP	BC	CR	CR	CR	Rel	CR	CR	Rel	Severe
Five-year disease-free survival (%)	58	30	20	54	35	30	18	50	25	34	60–80
Relapse (%)	17	45	70	35	45	58	75	22	45	31	–
Grade II–IV acute GVHD (%) ^c	45			35				40–45		18–35	
Chronic GVHD (%)	35			25				25–35		30–45	
Interstitial pneumonia (%) ^d	22			15				15–35		5–15	
VOD (%) ^e	25			7				28		<1	
Bacterial + fungal infections											
During first 3 months											
Before engraftment (%)											
After engraftment (%)											
After first 3 months (%)											
Graft failure (%)				<1						5–17	
Secondary malignancies (%)				5						1	

^a CML, chronic myelocytic leukemia; ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; ANL, acute nonlymphoblastic leukemia; AA, aplastic anemia. Patients with AA are usually conditioned with cyclophosphamide alone or in combination with total lymphoid or thoracoabdominal irradiation; patients with leukemia are usually given cyclophosphamide and total body irradiation

^b CP, chronic phase; AP, accelerated phase; BC, blast crisis; CR, complete remission; Rel, relapse

^c GVHD, graft-versus-host disease

^d Includes both idiopathic and cytomegalovirus interstitial pneumonias

^e VOD, veno-occlusive disease of the liver. VOD is rare in patients conditioned only with cyclophosphamide

treatment failures. Conditioning regimen related toxicity and bacterial or fungal infection during the early period of neutropenia result in 5%–10% of deaths. Fatal interstitial pneumonias are often associated with acute GVHD or may be the result of drug and radiation toxicity. Methods of improving the results of marrow transplantation are needed.

B. Graft-Versus-Host Disease Prophylaxis and Graft Failure

Reliable, nontoxic methods of preventing GVHD are needed (reviewed in [15, 22]). GVHD prophylaxis has customarily

involved postgrafting immunosuppression. In many patients, immunosuppressive therapy can be discontinued by 3–6 months after transplantation when a stable state of graft-host tolerance has been achieved. Omission of immunosuppression in most patients has caused an unacceptably high incidence of acute GVHD and transplant-related death. Controlled randomized trials have shown methotrexate and cyclosporine to be comparable in their ability to prevent GVHD (reviewed in [24]), and a combination of the two drugs is significantly better than either alone in preventing GVHD [25, 26]. However, chronic GVHD remains a problem [27].

Graft-versus-host disease prevention has been attempted by immunological and mechanical removal of T cells from the donor marrow (reviewed in [6, 28, 29]), resulting in a reduction in the number of infused T cells by 1 to 3 logs. In this manner, most differentiated immune cells causing GVHD are eliminated and the immune system is returned to an early prenatal state. New stem cell-derived T cells accept the host's antigenic environment as "self" and become tolerant to it. T-cell depletion has worked well in rodent models but has been less successful in large random-bred animals where increased graft failure has been noted. Graft failure seems to be caused by host immune cells which survived the conditioning program and whose continued survival is assured through the absence of GVHD. Nearly all clinical studies have shown a significant reduction in acute GVHD in patients given T-cell-depleted marrow grafts (Table 2), providing convincing evidence for a favorable effect of T-cell depletion on GVHD. However, this was achieved at the price of

substantial increases in graft rejection and leukemic relapse (Tables 2, 3): when T-cell-depleted marrow was used the overall incidence of graft rejection increased from 1% to 12% and from 5% to 32% in HLA-identical and in HLA-non-identical recipients, respectively. Additionally, relapse rates in patients with leukemia increased significantly, most impressively in patients grafted for CML in chronic phase (Table 3), and graft failure rates increased in patients with aplastic anemia grafted with T-cell-depleted marrow.

Since graft rejection and leukemic relapse almost always result in death, improved survival has not been seen in patients given T-depleted marrow transplants. Nevertheless, the significant decrease in the incidence of acute GVHD suggests that T-cell depletion would be useful if the risks of graft rejection and relapse can be lessened. To achieve this, two different methods can be envisioned. One includes improvement of pretransplant-conditioning programs better to eradicate immune cells of host type as

Table 2. Effect of T-cell depletion on incidence of GVHD and graft failure in patients transplanted for leukemia. (Data from the International Bone Marrow Transplant Registry [28])

Marrow source	T-depletion	Acute GVHD	Graft failure
HLA-identical donor	Yes	11%	12%
	No	45%	1%
HLA-nonidentical donor	Yes	31%	32%
	No	75%	5%

Table 3. Leukemic relapse and T-cell depletion. (Data from the International Bone Marrow Transplant Registry [28])

Disease ^a	# Patients	Incidence of relapse	
		Untreated marrow	T-depleted marrow
CML-CP	309	8%	43%
ANL-1st CR	538	18%	45%
ALL-1st CR	205	25%	35%
ALL-2nd CR	179	55%	75%

^a CML-CP, chronic myelocytic leukemia in chronic phase; ANL-CR, acute nonlymphoblastic leukemia in complete remission; ALL-CR, acute lymphoblastic leukemia in complete remission

well as malignant cells. As discussed below, this aim may be possible through better use of currently available chemoradiation therapy and through innovative approaches using antibody isotope conjugates in addition to chemoradiation therapy. The other method is based on the possibility that T cells causing GVHD are distinct from those which enhance engraftment and cause the graft-versus-leukemia effect. A better understanding of the precise role of lymphocytes in mediating these diverse immune functions might result in the development of strategies to eliminate GVHD without impairing engraftment or the graft-versus-leukemia effect.

C. Marrow Graft Rejection in Patients with Aplastic Anemia

A common problem in patients given HLA-identical marrow grafts for the treatment of severe aplastic anemia after conditioning with high-dose cyclophosphamide has been graft failure [3, 5, 21, 30]. In the early 1970s this problem was seen in 30%–60% of patients. Two factors were associated with rejection: positive in vitro tests of cell-mediated immunity, indicating reaction of host lymphocytes against antigens on donor cells before transplantation; and, secondly, a low number of transplanted marrow cells ($<3 \times 10^8$ cell/kg). As supported by studies in experimental animals, immunity of recipient against donor is thought to be the result of transfusion-induced sensitization. Canine studies have indicated that dendritic mononuclear cells in transfused blood products lead to sensitization of the recipient against minor antigens of the donor which may not be suppressed by the immunosuppressive conditioning programs [31]. Transplants carried out in patients who have not received preceding transfusions rarely result in graft failure: 80% of untransfused patients are alive with functioning grafts. This suggests that immunological mechanisms involved in graft failure are, for the

most part, induced by previous blood transfusions.

Many regimens, mainly involving more intensive immunosuppression, are being used to avoid graft rejection in multiply-transfused patients. All programs include cyclophosphamide, but other features vary, such as the use of total body irradiation (TBI), total lymphoid irradiation, total nodal irradiation, and thoracoabdominal irradiation. The Seattle team has administered viable donor buffy coat cells along with the marrow infusion, since the donor's peripheral blood is a potential source of hemopoietic stem cells and/or lymphoid cells capable of abrogating rejection. Most transplant centers are now reporting that rejection rates have decreased and survival has increased in multiply-transfused patients with survivals between 60% and 70%.

Risks are associated with most of the conditioning programs. Buffy coat cells may lead to an increase in chronic GVHD. Irradiation carries the potential for future cancer. Because of these risks as well as the persistent possibility of rejection, emphasis should be placed on preventing rather than overcoming sensitization caused by blood transfusions. This is best done by performing transplantation before administering transfusions. In case transfusions are required, buffy coat-poor red blood cells and platelets should be used. Recent data in the canine model have shown that sensitization can be prevented if blood transfusion products are exposed to ultraviolet light irradiation [32].

D. Relapse in Patients Transplanted for Hematological Malignancies

Cyclophosphamide and TBI have been the most commonly used conditioning agents for patients with leukemia [1–4, 8, 11–13]. In the attempt to reduce the leukemic recurrence rate, numerous therapeutic reagents such as etoposide, high-dose cytosine arabinoside, piperazine-

dione, BCNU, and others have been used in addition to or instead of cyclophosphamide. Fractionated TBI has slowly replaced single-dose TBI over the past decade since a prospective comparison of the two schedules showed fractionated TBI to be better tolerated and to result in fewer long-term complications without any apparent increase in postgrafting relapse rates [33]. Hyperfractionated TBI followed by cyclophosphamide has been used in patients with ALL in second or subsequent remission by the Sloan-Kettering team with apparently superior results [11]. A combination of busulfan and cyclophosphamide has been used without TBI by the Johns-Hopkins team and they reported very low leukemic recurrence rates in patients with ANL in first remission, while relapse rates in patients with more advanced ANL appeared to be similar to those seen after cyclophosphamide/TBI regimens [14]. This appears to contrast with results reported by the Ohio State team, which suggest that relapse rates are low not only in patients with ANL in first remission but also in patients with advanced ANL and ALL, even with reduced doses of busulfan and cyclophosphamide [34]. It appears, however, that the limits of nonhemopoietic toxicity have been reached and no substantial improvements in relapse rates and survival can be expected using systemic chemotherapy and TBI.

In principle, the most efficient means of eradicating cancer would be to use agents which interact specifically with malignant cells. The method approaching this ideal most closely is the use of monoclonal antibodies directed against tumor-associated antigens. It is known that monoclonal antibodies injected in vivo can concentrate on tumor cells; however, the antitumor effect is limited, partly due to the fact that some tumor cells lack target antigens, and partly because some cells, though coated by antibody, may not be killed by it. Attempts are being made to link antibodies to toxins such as the ricin-A chain for more effective tumor cell kill. Also in progress

are studies attaching monoclonal antibodies to short-lived radioactive isotopes which deposit most of their energy within a 1- to 2-mm radius. With these isotopes, cells expressing the target antigens as well as neighboring cells which may be antigen negative will be killed. In the case of hematologic malignancies, subsequent marrow "rescue" would be needed since this approach would ablate normal marrow cells. Initial experiments in a canine model of marrow transplantation have shown appropriate antibody isotope conjugates to localize preferentially in the marrow and spleen and also, to a lesser extent, in lymph nodes [35, 36], with the amount of isotope in the marrow achieving a ratio of 5:1 or better as compared with other organs. The marrow aplasia caused by radiolabeled antibodies can be reversed by infusion of cryopreserved autologous marrow at a time when very little radioactivity is left, about 8 days later. Canine studies are underway exploring the efficacy of various combinations of chemotherapy, TBI, and radiolabeled antibodies in conditioning dogs for T-cell-depleted marrow grafts. It is anticipated that refinements of this approach, particularly the use of high-energy beta-emitting isotopes with short linear energy transfer, will lead to less toxic and more efficient conditioning programs which will not only provide better elimination of malignant cells but will also ameliorate the problem of graft failure.

Radiolabeled antibodies might be useful in transplantation for nonmalignant as well as for malignant hematological diseases, by allowing engraftment to take place while eliminating busulfan in patients with thalassemia major or reducing the dose of cyclophosphamide in patients with aplastic anemia.

E. Prophylaxis and Therapy of Interstitial Pneumonia

Interstitial pneumonias are among the most serious complications arising dur-

ing the first 3–4 months after transplantation (reviewed in [23, 37, 38]). Pneumonias are less frequent in patients grafted for aplastic anemia following cyclophosphamide than in patients with leukemia whose conditioning regimen included TBI or busulfan. *Pneumocystis carinii* infection, formerly the cause of about 10% of all interstitial pneumonias, is now being prevented by prophylactic trimethoprim sulfamethoxazole. Idiopathic interstitial pneumonia has been seen in approximately 13% of patients given single-dose TBI, but the incidence has declined to 3% with the use of fractionated TBI.

By far the most critical infection is cytomegalovirus (CMV). Evidence of CMV activation is seen in about 75% of all patients with positive CMV antibody titers before transplant. While often asymptomatic and manifested only by viral excretion in the urine or by increasing antibody titers, CMV activation can develop into a serious complication in the form of CMV pneumonia, which has a case fatality rate of approximately 85%. Patients who are CMV seronegative before transplant can be protected from infection by the use of CMV-sero-negative blood products during and after transplant. If possible, only CMV-negative blood products should be given to any CMV-negative patient who is a potential transplant candidate. Immunoprophylaxis using CMV immunoglobulin has been controversial, and there is currently no proven therapy for established CMV infection. The use of an acyclovir derivative, dihydroxymethyl-ethoxymethylguanine, has not been effective in treating CMV pneumonia although it has significantly reduced the amount of virus in the lung tissues, and it may prove to be beneficial when given along with CMV immunoglobulin in treating established CMV pneumonia. Also, it may be useful in prophylactic trials.

It is possible that the use of certain recombinant human hematopoietic growth factors, such as IL-1, IL-3, G-CSF, and GM-CSF, might shorten the

period of granulocytopenia or thrombocytopenia after grafting, thus reducing the incidence of early infection and resulting in a modest improvement of survival.

F. Conclusions

In the early 1970s marrow transplants were only administered to patients who had advanced acute leukemia, severe aplastic anemia, or severe combined immunodeficiency diseases. Since then, the technique has been shown to be beneficial and even curative for patients with many different hematological conditions. In younger patients, marrow grafting is now the treatment of choice for aplastic anemia, immunodeficiency disease, certain genetic disorders of hemopoiesis, any leukemia which has relapsed at least once, ANL in first remission, and CML. For patients who have thalassemia major, CML in chronic phase, or ANL in first remission, the risk of early death from transplant-related complications must be weighed against the benefit of long-term cure.

Although impressive advances in transplantation have taken place, major problems persist. These include recurrence of leukemia, graft failure in patients given T-depleted or HLA-nonidentical grafts, acute and chronic GVHD, infections associated with prolonged immunodeficiency, and late-occurring complications resulting from the conditioning programs. Major improvements in the area of more effective and less toxic conditioning regimens are needed. In this regard, the use of monoclonal antibodies linked to short-lived radioactive isotopes with short linear energy transfer seems promising. It is expected that more effective conditioning programs will decrease the incidences of leukemic recurrence and graft failure. Better conditioning regimens should permit a broader application of T-cell depletion to prevent acute and chronic GVHD, thus extending marrow grafting to include more HLA-non-

identical and unrelated patients. The use of recombinant hemopoietic growth factors may prove to reduce the risk of early infections, but the problem of CMV infection in seropositive recipients will remain until effective antiviral drugs are identified.

References

1. Gratwohl A, Hermans J, Barrett AJ, Ernst P, Frassoni F, Gahrton G, Granena A, Kolb HJ, Marmont A, Prentice HG, Speck B, Vernant JP, Zwaan FJ (1988) Allogeneic bone marrow transplantation for leukaemia in Europe: report from the working party on leukaemia, European Group for Bone Marrow Transplantation. *Lancet* I:1379-1382
2. Ringden O, Zwaan F, Hermans J, Gratwohl A (1987) for the Leukemia Working Party of the European Group for Bone Marrow Transplantation. European experience of bone marrow transplantation for leukemia. *Transplant Proc* 19:2600-2604
3. Champlin R (1987) for the Advisory Committee of the International Bone Marrow Transplant Registry. Bone marrow transplantation for acute leukemia: a preliminary report from the International Bone Marrow Transplant Registry. *Transplant Proc* 19:2626-2628
4. Gluckman E (1987) Current status of bone marrow transplantation for severe aplastic anemia: a preliminary report from the International Bone Marrow Transplant Registry. *Transplant Proc* 19:2597-2599
5. Storb R, Doney K, Thomas ED, Anasetti C, Appelbaum F, Beatty P, Bensinger W, Buckner CD, Clift R, Fefer A, Hansen J, Hill R, Martin P, McGuffin R, Sanders J, Singer J, Stewart P, Sullivan K, Whitherspoon R (1988) Allogeneic and syngeneic marrow transplantation for aplastic anemia: overview of Seattle results. In: Baum SJ, Santos GW, Takaku F (eds) *Experimental hematology today - 1987. Recent advances and future directions in bone marrow transplantation*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 119-124
6. Storb R (1987) Critical issues in bone marrow transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 19:2774-2781
7. Bortin MM (1988) Key results from recent analyses: a report from the International Bone Marrow Transplant Registry. Proceedings of the 17th annual meeting of the International Society for Experimental Hematology, Houston Tex, August 21-25. *Exp Hematol* 16:414, Abstr #7
8. Thomas ED, Storb R, Clift RA, Fefer A, Johnson FL, Neiman PE, Lerner KG, Glucksberg H, Buckner CD (1975) Bone-marrow transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 292:832-843, 895-902
9. Lucarelli G, Galimberti M, Polchi P, Giardini C, Politi P, Baronciani D, Angelucci E, Manenti F, Delfini C, Aureli G, Muretto P (1987) Marrow transplantation in patients with advanced thalassemia. *N Engl J Med* 316:1050-1055
10. O'Reilly RJ (1983) Allogeneic bone marrow transplantation: current status and future directions. *Blood* 62:941-964
11. Brochstein JA, Kernan NA, Groshen S, Cirrincione C, Shank B, Emanuel D, Laver J, O'Reilly RJ (1987) Allogeneic bone marrow transplantation after hyperfractionated total-body irradiation and cyclophosphamide in children with acute leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 317:1618-1624
12. Goldman JM, Apperley JF, Jones L, Marcus R, Goolden AWG, Batchelor R, Hale G, Waldmann H, Reid CD, Hows J, Gordon-Smith E, Catovsky D, Galton DAG (1986) Bone marrow transplantation for patients with chronic myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 314:202-207
13. Dinsmore R, Kirkpatrick D, Flomenberg N, Gulati S, Kapoor N, Shank B, Reid A, Groshen S, O'Reilly RJ (1983) Allogeneic bone marrow transplantation for patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* 62:381-388
14. Santos GW, Tutschka PJ, Brookmeyer R, Saral R, Beschorner WE, Bias WB, Braine HG, Burns WH, Elfenbein GJ, Kaizer H, Mellits D, Sensenbrenner LL, Stuart RK, Yeager AM (1983) Marrow transplantation for acute nonlymphocytic leukemia after treatment with busulfan and cyclophosphamide. *N Engl J Med* 309:1347-1353
15. Gale RP, Bortin MM, Van Bekkum DW, Biggs JC, Dicke KA, Gluckman E, Good RA, Hoffmann RG, Kay HEM, Kersey JH, Marmont A, Masaoka T, Rimm AA, Van Rood JJ, Zwaan FE (1987). Risk factors for acute graft-versus-host disease. *Br J Haematol* 67:397-406
16. Thomas ED, Clift RA, Fefer A, Appelbaum FR, Beatty PG, Bensinger WI,

- Buckner CD, Cheever MA, Deeg HJ, Doney K, Flournoy N, Greenberg P, Hansen JA, Martin P, McGuffin R, Ramberg R, Sanders JE, Singer J, Stewart P, Storb R, Sullivan K, Weiden PL, Witherspoon R (1986) Marrow transplantation for the treatment of chronic myelogenous leukemia. *Ann Intern Med* 104:155-163
17. Thomas ED, Buckner CD, Clift RA, Fefer A, Johnson FL, Neiman PE, Sale GE, Sanders JE, Singer JW, Shulman H, Storb R, Weiden PL (1979) Marrow transplantation for acute nonlymphoblastic leukemia in first remission. *N Engl J Med* 301:597-599
 18. Thomas ED, Sanders JE, Flournoy N, Johnson FL, Buckner CD, Clift RA, Fefer A, Goodell BW, Storb R, Weiden P (1979) Marrow transplantation for patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia in remission. *Blood* 54:468-476
 19. Appelbaum FR, Dahlberg S, Thomas ED, Buckner CD, Cheever MA, Clift RA, Crowley J, Deeg HJ, Fefer A, Greenberg P, Kadin M, Smith W, Stewart P, Sullivan KM, Storb R, Weiden P (1984) Bone marrow transplantation or chemotherapy after remission induction for adults with acute nonlymphoblastic leukemia: a prospective comparison. *Ann Intern Med* 101:581-588
 20. Clift RA, Buckner CD, Thomas ED, Kopecky KJ, Appelbaum FR, Tallman M, Storb R, Sanders J, Sullivan K, Banaji M, Beatty P, Bensinger W, Cheever M, Deeg J, Doney K, Fefer A, Greenberg P, Hansen JA, Hackman R, Hill R, Martin P, Meyers J, McGuffin R, Neiman P, Sale G, Shulman H, Singer J, Stewart P, Weiden P, Witherspoon R (1987) The treatment of acute nonlymphoblastic leukemia by allogeneic marrow transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2:243-258
 21. Storb R, Thomas ED, Buckner CD, Appelbaum FR, Clift RA, Deeg HJ, Doney K, Hansen JA, Prentice RL, Sanders JE, Stewart P, Sullivan KM, Witherspoon RP (1984) Marrow transplantation for aplastic anemia. *Semin Hematol* 21:27-35
 22. Storb R, Thomas ED (1985) Graft-versus-host disease in dog and man: The Seattle experience. In: Moller G (ed) *Immunological reviews*, No. 88. Copenhagen, Munksgaard, 215-238
 23. Meyers JD (1988) Prevention and treatment of cytomegalovirus infection after marrow transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 3:95-104
 24. Storb R, Deeg HJ, Fisher LD, Appelbaum F, Buckner CD, Bensinger W, Clift R, Doney K, Irle C, McGuffin R, Martin P, Sanders J, Schoch G, Singer J, Stewart P, Sullivan K, Witherspoon R, Thomas ED (1988) Cyclosporine versus methotrexate for graft-versus-host disease prevention in patients given marrow grafts for leukemia: long-term follow-up of three controlled trials. *Blood* 71:293-298
 25. Storb R, Deeg HJ, Whitehead J, Appelbaum F, Beatty P, Bensinger W, Buckner CD, Clift R, Doney K, Farewell V, Hansen J, Hill R, Lum L, Martin P, McGuffin R, Sanders J, Stewart P, Sullivan K, Witherspoon R, Yee G, Thomas ED (1986) Methotrexate and cyclosporine compared with cyclosporine alone for prophylaxis of acute graft versus host disease after marrow transplantation for leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 314:729-735
 26. Storb R, Deeg HJ, Farewell V, Doney K, Appelbaum F, Beatty P, Bensinger W, Buckner CD, Clift R, Hansen J, Hill R, Longton G, Lum L, Martin P, McGuffin R, Sanders J, Singer J, Stewart P, Sullivan K, Witherspoon R, Thomas ED (1986) Marrow transplantation for severe aplastic anemia: methotrexate alone compared with a combination of methotrexate and cyclosporine for prevention of acute graft-versus-host disease. *Blood* 68:119-125
 27. Sullivan KM, Witherspoon R, Storb R, Appelbaum F, Beatty P, Bensinger W, Bigelow C, Buckner CD, Cheever M, Clift R, Doney K, Fefer A, Greenberg P, Hansen J, Martin P, Matthews D, McDonald G, Meyers J, Petersen FB, Sanders J, Shulman H, Singer J, Stewart P, Thomas ED (1988) Chronic graft-versus-host disease: pathogenesis, diagnosis, treatment and prognostic factors. In: Baum SJ, Santos GW, Takaku F (eds) *Experimental hematology today - 1987. Recent advances and future directions in bone marrow transplantation*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 150-157
 28. Butturini A, Franceschini F, Gale RP (1988) Critical analysis of T-cell depletion in man. In: Martelli MF, Grignani F, Reischer Y (eds) *T-cell depletion in allogeneic bone marrow transplantation*. Ares-Serono symposia, Rome, pp 1-13
 29. Goldman JM, Gale RP, Horowitz MM, Biggs JC, Champlin RE, Gluckman E, Hoffmann RG, Jacobsen SJ, Marmont AM, McGlave PB, Messner HA, Rimm AA, Rozman C, Speck B, Tura S, Weiner

- RS, Bortin MM (1988) Bone marrow transplantation for chronic myelogenous leukemia in chronic phase: increased risk for relapse associated with T-cell depletion. *Ann Intern Med* 108:806–814
30. Gordon-Smith EC (1987) Recent advances and future trends in bone marrow transplantation for severe aplastic anemia. In: Baum SJ, Santos GW, Takaku F (eds) *Experimental hematology today – 1987. Recent advances and future directions in bone marrow transplantation*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 125–129
 31. Deeg HJ, Aprile J, Storb R, Graham T, Hackman R, Appelbaum F, Schuening F (1988) Functional dendritic cells are required for transfusion-induced sensitization in canine marrow graft recipients. *Blood* 71:1138–1140
 32. Deeg HJ, Aprile J, Graham TC, Appelbaum FR, Storb R (1986) Ultraviolet irradiation of blood prevents transfusion-induced sensitization and marrow graft rejection in dogs. Concise report. *Blood* 67:537–539
 33. Thomas ED, Clift RA, Hersman J, Sanders JE, Stewart P, Buckner CD, Fefer A, McGuffin R, Smith JW, Storb R (1982) Marrow transplantation for acute non-lymphoblastic leukemia in first remission using fractionated or single-dose irradiation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 8:817–821
 34. Tutschka PJ, Copelan EA, Klein JP (1987) Bone marrow transplantation for leukemia following a new busulfan and cyclophosphamide regimen. *Blood* 70:1382–1388
 35. Appelbaum FR, Badger C, Deeg HJ, Nelp WB, Storb R (1987) Use of iodine-131-labeled anti-immune response-associated monoclonal antibody as a preparative regimen prior to bone marrow transplantation: initial dosimetry. *NCI Monogr* 3:67–71
 36. Appelbaum FR, Brown PA, Graham TC, Sandmaier BM, Schuening FW, Storb R (1988) Characterization of malignant lymphoma in dogs and use as a model for the development of treatment strategies. In: Baum SJ, Santos GW, Takaku F (eds) *Experimental hematology today – 1987. Recent advances and future directions in bone marrow transplantation*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 31–35
 37. Meyers JD, Flournoy N, Thomas ED (1982) Nonbacterial pneumonia after allogeneic marrow transplantation: a review of ten years' experience. *Rev Infect Dis* 4:1119–1132
 38. Winston DJ, Ho WG, Champlin RE, Gale RP (1984) Infectious complications of bone marrow transplantation. *Exp Hematol* 12:205–215